

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,154	01/14/2002	Nobuya Harano	2001P005978	5070
30743	7590 01/27/2005		EXAM	INER
	, CURTIS & CHRIST(ET HILLS ROAD	WEST, L	EWIS G	
SUITE 340	ET IIILLS KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20190		2682	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/043,154	HARANO, NOBUYA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lewis G. West	2682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		- , ,			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date`. mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2682

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-12, 14 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Mizoguchi (US 6,678,532) in view of Werling (US 6,456,856 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Mizoguchi discloses a portable radio terminal device for radio communication by using an antenna provided in a housing capable of being held by one hand, wherein: a first antenna capable of transmission disposed in a lower part of the housing and a second antenna disposed in a upper part of the housing for radio communication, said first antenna and said second antenna being selectively switched for use, a sensor for sensing when the first antenna is covered and outputting a detection signal; and means for switching between said first antenna and said second antenna for use based on said detection signal. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12- line 8) Mizoguchi does not expressly disclose that the second antenna is capable of transmission. Werling discloses a portable radio terminal device comprising: a plurality of transmission antennas separately provided; a detector for detecting the deterioration of an antenna characteristic; and a

Art Unit: 2682

switch for switching, on the basis of the detected result, the operation from the deteriorated transmission antenna to a different transmission antenna. (Col. 3 line 34-col. 4 line 24) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to switch from a degraded antenna to another antenna to transmit in order to avoid interference by human tissue and also to avoid harmful radiation to said tissue.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 1, wherein the first or the second antenna is predetermined to be a normally used antenna. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12- line 8)

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 4, wherein the sensor is a touch sensor. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12- line 8)

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 4, wherein a plurality of sensors are used to sense the extent of the covering of the antenna. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12- line 8)

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 4, wherein the sensor is an impedance change detecting means for detecting a change in the impedance of the antenna. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12- line 8)

4. Claims 9, 11, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Werling (US 6,456,856 B1) in view of Bowen (US 5,224,151).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 9, Werling discloses a portable radio terminal device comprising: a plurality of transmission antennas separately provided; a detector for detecting the deterioration of an antenna characteristic; and a switch for switching, on the basis of the detected result, the operation from the deteriorated transmission antenna to a different transmission antenna. (Col. 3 line 34-col. 4 line 24) but does not expressly disclose an optical sensor. Bowen discloses a mobile radiotelephone wherein a sensor for detecting human proximity, especially the human head (see Figures 2-6) in order to change functional operation of the phone. (Col. 2 lines 10-61) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Werling to use an optical sensor to detect human proximity so that harmful radiation may be directed away from said tissue, and for optimum antenna use to prevent degradation and prevent the unnecessary use of power that can be saved by using a more desirable antenna, and infrared being an inexpensive and widely used type of sensor.

Regarding claim 11, Werling discloses to claim 9, wherein the detector detects the antenna at least a part of which is covered with a hand or is touched with a head. (Col. 4 lines 4-10)

Regarding claim 12, Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 9, wherein the detector is a touch sensor for detecting the touch of hand or head. (Col. 4 liners 4-10)

Regarding claim 15, Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 1, wherein a plurality of detectors is provided. (Col. 2 lines 17-24)

Art Unit: 2682

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizoguchi (US 6,678,532) in view of Werling (US 6,456,856 B1) further in view of Narayanaswamy (US 5,905,467).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 1, wherein the housing is of a foldable type comprising an upper and a lower housing hinged together by a hinge part, the first and second antennas are disposed in the lower and upper housings, respectively.

Narayanaswamy discloses a portable radio terminal device with switchable antennas wherein the device is a foldable type including a first housing provided with a first antenna and a second housing provided with a second antenna which are hinged together by a hinge part, and further discloses that the respective antennas may both be internal.

(Col. 2 line 31-col. 3 line 65) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have internal antennae in separate housing sections, to aid in antenna diversity for communication.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizoguchi (US 6,678,532) in view of Werling (US 6,456,856 B1) further in view of Bowen(US 5,224,151).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Mizoguchi and Werling discloses a radiotelephone according to claim 1, but does not expressly disclose an optical sensor.

Bowen discloses a mobile radiotelephone wherein a sensor for detecting human proximity, especially the human head (see Figures 2-6) in order to change functional operation of the phone. (Col. 2 lines 10-61) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2682

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Werling to use an optical sensor to detect human proximity so that harmful radiation may be directed away from said tissue, and for optimum antenna use to prevent degradation and prevent the unnecessary use of power that can be saved by using a more desirable antenna, and infrared being an inexpensive and widely used type of sensor.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werling (US 6,456,856 B1) in view of Bowen and further in view of Narayanaswamy (US 5,905,467).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Werling and Bowen discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 9, but does not disclose that the portable radio terminal device is a foldable type including a first housing provided with a first antenna and a second housing provided with a second housing which are hinged together by a hinge part. Narayanaswamy discloses a portable radio terminal device with switchable antennas wherein the device is a foldable type including a first housing provided with a first antenna and a second housing provided with a second antenna which are hinged together by a hinge part. (Col. 2 line 31-col. 3 line 65) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have antennae in separate housing sections, to aid in antenna diversity for communication.

10. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werling (US 6,456,856 B1) in view of Bowen and further in view of Mizoguchi (US 6,678,532).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Werling and Bowen discloses the portable radio terminal device according to claim 9, but does not disclose a detected impedance change of the antenna. Mizoguchi discloses a portable radio terminal device wherein a detector detects an impedance change of the antenna. (Col. 10 line 47-Col 12-line 8) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an impedance change to detect the presence of human tissue, so that harmful radiation may be directed away from said tissue, and for optimum antenna use to prevent degradation and prevent the unnecessary use of power that can be saved by using a more desirable antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis G. West whose telephone number is 703-308-9298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2682

Lewis West (703) 308-9298

LEE NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINED